	Semester Learning Plan
	Advanced Educational Linguistics (ECIS 218) English Education Master's Program
Revision 3	Effective from August 2023

UNIVERSITAS SANATA DHARMA

Faculty : Teachers Training and Education
Study Program : English Education Master's Program

SEMESTER LESSON PLAN

Course Code/Course Name : ECIS 218/Advanced Educational Linguistics
Credits/Contact Hour : 2/2 CHs
Prerequisite : -
Semester/Academic Year : 3/2023-2024
Lecturer(s) : Barli Bram, Ph.D.

Program Learning Outcomes

PLO 1: Graduates are able to demonstrate skills to design, implement, and evaluate English learning based on metacognitive theory and applied linguistics.

PLO 2: Graduates are able to demonstrate ethical skills for conducting contemporary research in the field of English education based on metacognitive theory and applied linguistics.

Short Description of the Course:

This course aims to enable students to be capable of producing a ready-to-publish journal article, whose length is between 4,000 and 8,000 words on educational English linguistics. The publication should be in an indexed quality journal, as in prestigious indexers, for example, Scopus, Web of Science, Directory of Open Access Journals (DOAJ) and Sinta 4 or above (S3, S2 and S1).

Course Learning outcomes:

Upon the completion of the course, the students are expected to be able to:

Competence:

1. Understand the knowledge and means to write or produce scientific journal articles.
2. Conduct research and publish scientific journal articles on educational linguistics critically and ethically.

Conscience:

1. Gain more awareness of the issues related to educational linguistics.
2. Select what to implement in their contexts appropriately.
3. Improve their responsibility and autonomy in executing various research projects individually and/or in a group

Compassion:

1. Work collaboratively to complete assigned tasks and camera-ready scientific journal articles.
2. Work collaboratively to improve each other's understanding and writing

Commitment:

1. Students are able to develop honesty in writing a literary analysis.
2. Students are able to develop a commitment in working individually and in groups.

Learning Methods:

The learning methods in this course are informed by current learning theories, i.e., constructivism, metacognition and self-regulated learning theories and carried out **interactively, holistically, integratively, scientifically, contextually, thematically, effectively, collaboratively, and centered on the students as explained below:**

a. Interactive

Learning in this course is carried out interactively through various learning activities, for example, student-student, student-lecturer, and lecturer-student activities.

b. Holistic

Holistic learning in this course covers three educational domains, namely cognitive, psychomotor and affective domains. In every learning activity, the lecturer not only delivers lecture materials that hone students' cognitive abilities but also integrate the basic values of the study program and faculty/University, namely *conscience* (choosing one's conscience), *compassion* (feelings of compassion) and *commitment* (holding firm to commitments), into the lecture material.

c. Integrative

Technology is integrated into every lesson both as a learning tool and as learning media, as well as learning resources, especially in enriching study materials that depend on technological developments, such as access to international journals, whether subscribed or not, e-book access.

d. Scientific

This course aims to produce graduates who are strong and persistent in seeking and discovering academic truths through scientific learning processes. The scientific learning process integrates learning models which enable students to identify problems, and their causes, formulate problems, find solutions to problems, such as through project-based learning, problem-based learning, inquiry learning, self-regulated learning and metacognition.

e. Contextual

Contextual learning is achieved in this course through its adherence to contextual and learning materials that can be accessed through the campus comprehensive library collections and virtual learning resources both national and international. In addition, this course encourages students to conduct research and publish on the contextual and relevant topics in the literature and in society.

f. Thematic

In line with the contextual characteristics of learning, this course is also characterized by its focus on the related themes in understanding major topics in English education. The themes cover various learning theories in education ranging from metacognition, self-regulated learning, constructivism and affectivism.

g. Effective

This course has been planned effectively to last for 16 meetings including mid-term exams and end-of-semester examinations with every meeting discussing pre-determined topics that have been set in the lesson planning.

h. Collaborative

Class lectures are held collaboratively in accordance with one aspect of Ignatian Pedagogy, i.e., *Compassion*, which aims to develop the students' ability to work together to achieve goals, respect differences of opinions and develop conflict handling skills.

i. Student-centered

All lectures in this course are student-centered so that they are accustomed to actively finding problems, solving problems, developing ideas, conducting collaborative research with lecturers, and presenting research results in seminars.

Student Workload

Learning Activities and Tasks	SWL
Classroom meeting	32
Independent work	14
Structured work	14
Mid Term Paper	14
Final Paper	17
Total	90

Week	Learning goals	Course Materials	Learning Strategies	Achievement indicators	Score or grading	References
(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)
1	By the end of the class, students are able to understand the goals, assessments, and learning strategies/materials to be used in the class.	Introduction to the course: planning to write a journal paper: introduction, literature review, and methodology	Brief lectures, short question and answer sessions, group work, related to educational linguistics.	Students are able to choose several alternatives of their own topics		How to Write a World Class Methodology Paper: Elsevier ppt slides APA (6 th edition) guidelines Schmitt & Rodgers (2020)
2	By the end of the class, students are able to identify various journals on educational linguistics	Edu lings research based on individual interest	Group discussion: each student presents their findings of journals based on their own interest. Individual students start writing the main points of the journal paper.	Students are able to write the introduction of the journal paper based on the reviewed research articles.		Sanjaya & Nugrahani (2018) Kroeger (2023) Schmitt & Rodgers (2020)
3	By the end of the class, students are able to write the introduction part	Edu lings research based on each individual interest	Group discussion: each student presents their findings of journals based on their own interest.	Students are able to write the introduction of the journal paper based on the reviewed research articles.		Cevoli, Watkins, Gao, & Rastle (2022). Xiang, Jia, & Bu (2024)
4	By the end of the class, students are able to write the introduction section	Edu lings research based on each individual interest	Group discussion: each student presents their findings of journals based on their own interest. Individual students start writing the introduction of the journal paper.	Students are able to write the introduction of the journal paper based on the reviewed research articles.		Cevoli, Watkins, Gao, & Rastle (2022). Samodra & Bram (2022) Xiang, Jia, & Bu (2024)

5-7	By the end of the class, students are able to write the lit/method review section (part 1)	Edu lings research based on each individual interest	Group discussion: each student presents their findings of journals based on their own interest. Individual students start writing the lit review/method of the journal paper.	Students are able to write the introduction of the journal paper based on the reviewed research articles.		Lord & Brown-Schmidt (2022). Stefanowitsch (2020) Walsh & Mann (Eds.). (2019)
8	Progress Test 1					
9	By the end of the class, students are able to write the lit review/method section	Recent journal articles on edu lings, recent/up to date ones	Group discussion: each student presents their findings of journals based on their own interest. Individual students start writing the literature review of the journal paper.	Students are able to write the literature review of the journal paper based on the grand theories and other research journals.		Bailoor, John, & Laxman (2015) Rowe & Levine (2023)
10	By the end of the class, students are able to write the lit review/method section	Recent journal articles on linguistics research, dated in less than 5 years.	Group discussion: each student presents their findings of journals based on their own interest. Individual students start writing the literature review of the journal paper.	Students are able to write the literature review of the journal paper based on the grand theories and other research journals.		Lord & Brown-Schmidt (2022). Bartlett & O'Grady (Eds.). (2017).
11	By the end of the class, students are able to write the method section	Recent journal articles on linguistics research, dated in less than 5 years.	Group discussion: each student presents their findings of journals based on their own interest. Individual students start	Students are able to write the literature review of the journal paper based on the grand theories and other research journals.		Fraundorf & Watson (2014) Andriani & Bram (2023)

			writing the literature review of the journal paper.			
12	By the end of the class, students are able to write the method section	Recent journal articles on edu lings.	Group discussion: each student presents their findings of journals based on their own interest.	Students are able to write the literature review of the journal paper based on the grand theories and other research journals.		Gürbüz (2017) Rowe & Levine (2023)
	(Submission of Full Paper Draft) Test 2					
13	By the end of the class, students are able to write the result section	Recent journal articles on edu lings	Group discussion: each student presents their methodology based on their own interest. Individual students start writing the methodology of the journal paper.	Students are able to write the methodology of the journal paper based on the methodology theories and other research journals.		Andang & Bram (2018)
14	By the end of the class, students are able to write the result section	Recent journal articles on edu lings	Group discussion: each student presents their methodology based on their own interest.	Students are able to write the methodology of the journal paper based on the methodology theories and other research journals.		Lord & Brown-Schmidt (2022). Bartlett & O'Grady (Eds.). (2017). Choemue & Bram (2021).
15	By the end of the class, students are able to write the discussion section	Recent journal articles on edu lings.	Group discussion: each student presents their methodology based on their own interest.	Students are able to write the methodology of the journal paper based on the methodology theories and other research journals.		Wulandari, Hapsari, & Bram (2018)

			Individual students start writing the methodology of the journal paper.			
16	By the end of the class, students are able to write the conclusion section	Recent journal articles on education research, which was recently published	Group discussion: each student presents their methodology based on their own interest. Individual students start writing the methodology of the journal paper.	Students are able to write the methodology of the journal paper based on the methodology theories and other research journals.		Turnitin Similarity Check: www.turnitin.com
17	Final exam (Finalized Full Paper Submission)					

Conceptual frameworks for learning activities

C.F. 01. The learning activities in the class are geared to establish a habit of criticality on the parts of all course participants. The course is established upon an ideological belief that every single human being is highly prized, independent figure, who has the capacity to know what is morally right and correct. Thus, he/she is subject to demonstrate his/her accountability by being responsive and responsible for all the actions he/she has done. In particular, the class is established upon the spiritual exercises of St. Ignatius, where three basic core actions are regularly conducted. The three simple, reflective acts are gratitude, regrets, and follow-up. Accordingly, it is the beliefs that everybody seeks to nurture that course participants are to prepare the assigned readings and play a contributive role in creating a vibrant community of learners.

C.F. 02. To enhance critical thinking, students are encouraged to generate as many questions as possible. Course participants are encouraged to engage in Socratic questioning skills. Therefore, much of the class encounters is established upon dynamic conversations on core issues at hand. Drawing upon Habermas' (1971) emancipatory learning goals, critical thinking skills are nurtured through critique of knowledge and critique of ideology. It is through a growing awareness on the distorted life experiences of self that each course participant is going to help him-/herself to empower learning for personal liberation. The knowledge critique is intended to equip course participants to heal self and increase a high degree of self-knowledge. In addition, course participants are also engage in a broader scope of critique, which centers on the ideological beliefs maintained by bureaucracies or some sorts of establishments or status quo.

C.F. 03. The class is also built upon the basic beliefs that humans grow and develop in the encounters with other human beings. The presence of a nurturing community – where relational trust, personal mastery, free experimentation of ideas, and a sense of high expectation on each other – is believed to play a substantive role to create a vibrant learning community. Communicative acts to nurture a positive relational trust are maintained. Each course participant is expected to engage in a respectful communication, where appreciation on any single person is of paramount importance. Degrading acts in the forms of verbally humiliating and/or attacking expressions, sensitive statements, and inappropriate deeds to harass others are unacceptable and subject to scrutiny, and therefore lead to sanctions. Victims of harassments are encouraged to vent their concerns off to confidants and campus authorities.

C.F. 04. Teaching and learning activities in the study program are established upon both axiologically and epistemologically sound principles. Sanata Dharma University holds four core values (i.e. seeking truth, maintaining diversity, raising human dignity, and pursuing justice), which serve as axiological foundation. Meanwhile, the epistemological foundation is rested upon scientific knowledge pursuit, in which the knowledge construction is done through appropriately crediting the cited sources, avoiding plagiarism, and maintaining public accountability.

Course grading system

No	Assignment	Grade components
1.	Weekly progress assignments on individual paper	25%
2.	Class participation	5%
3.	Test 1 (Submission of individual paper – draft 1)	20%
4.	Test 2 (Submission of individual paper – draft 2)	25%
5.	Test 3 (Final project: Submission of individual paper)	25%
		100%

Assessment Criteria

No		Score	Grade	Scale 1-4
1	85	85-100	A	3,4
2	80	80-84	A-	3,2
3	75	75-79	B+	3
4	70	70-74	B	2,8
5	65	65-69	B-	2,6
6	60	60-64	C+	2,4
7	56	56-59	C	2,24
8	50	50-55	D	2
9	0	0-49	E	0

References

- Kroeger, P. R. (2023). *Analyzing meaning: An introduction to semantics and pragmatics*. Language Science Press.
- Rowe, B.M., & Levine, D.P. (2023). *A concise introduction to linguistics* (6th ed.). New York, NY: Routledge.
- Stefanowitsch, A. (2020). *Corpus linguistics: A guide to the methodology*. Language Science Press.
- Schmitt, N., & Rodgers, M.P.H. (2020). *An introduction to applied linguistics* (3rd ed.). New York, NY: Routledge.
- Xiang, M., Jia, M., & Bu, X. (2024). *Introduction to pragmatics*. Beijing, CN: Peking University Press.

- Walsh, S., & Mann, S. (Eds.). (2019). *The Routledge handbook of English language teacher education*. Routledge.
- Abalkheel, A. M. (2020). What to choose amongst dictionaries for the linguistics student: A review of the American heritage college dictionary and the Cambridge advanced learner's dictionary. *Arab World English Journal*, 11(3), 537-548. <https://doi.org/10.24093/awej/vol11no3.35>
- Ahrens, B. (2018). Normative power Europe in crisis? Understanding the productive role of ambiguity for the EU's transformative agenda. *Asia Europe Journal*, 16(2), 199-212.
- Alahmadi, A., & Foltz, A. (2020). Exploring the effect of lexical inferencing and dictionary consultation on undergraduate EFL students' vocabulary acquisition. *PLoS ONE*, 15(7), e0236798. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0236798>
- Al-Bulushi, Z. (2012). *Paper dictionary or electronic one*. Retrieved from <http://www.developingteacher.com>
- Andang, K., & Bram, B. (2018). Swear words and their implications for English language learning-teaching. *LLT Journal: A Journal on Language and Language Teaching*, 21(Suppl), 43-49. <https://doi.org/10.24071/llt.v21iSuppl.1195>
- Andriani, E., & Bram, B. (2023). Central meanings and hypernym-hyponym hierarchy of word definitions in online kamus besar Bahasa Indonesia (the great dictionary of the Indonesian Language). *SKASE Journal of Theoretical Linguistics*, 20(2), 99-122.
- Ary, D., Jacobs, L. C., Sorensen, C., & Razavieh, A. (2010). *Introduction to research in education* (8th ed.). Wadsworth.
- Attardo, S. (2018). Universals in puns and humorous wordplay. *Cultures and traditions of wordplay and wordplay research*, 89-110.
- Azar, B. (1999). *Understanding and using English grammar*. Pearson Education.
- Bailoor, P., John, J., & Laxman, J. (2015). Disfluencies in English speaking young adults: A supplementary study in Indian setup. *International Journal of Research Studies in Biosciences (IJRSB)*, 3(6), 110-114.
- Bartlett, T., & O'Grady, G. (Eds.). (2017). *The Routledge handbook of systemic functional linguistics*. Taylor & Francis.
- Belfahri, K. (2021). Rethinking language ambiguity beyond the semantico-pragmatic interface. *Folia Linguistica et Litteraria*, 34, 211-233.
- Biber, D. (2004). Compressed noun-phrase structures in newspaper discourse: The competing demands of popularization vs. economy. *New Media Language*, 169-181. <https://doi.org/10.4324/9780203696965>.
- Bobchynets, L. (2022). Lexico-semantic means of pun creation in Spanish jokes about La Gomera by Caco Santacruz. *The European Journal of Humour Research*, 10(1), 22-28.
- Bulut, T., & Almagrouk, N. (2020). The functions of puns in "Alice's adventures in wonderland". *The Reading Matrix: An International Online Journal*, 20(1), 172-185.
- Burton-Roberts, N. (2016). *Analyzing sentence: An introduction to English syntax* (4th ed.). Routledge.
- Cahyani, D. A., & Islam, A. F. (2020). The ambiguity of English advertisement. *Jo-ELT (Journal of English Language Teaching) Fakultas Pendidikan Bahasa & Seni Prodi Pendidikan Bahasa Inggris IKIP*, 4(1), 49-62.
- Cevoli, B., Watkins, C., Gao, Y., & Rastle, K. (2022). Shades of meaning: Natural language models offer insights and challenges to psychological understanding of lexical ambiguity.
- Chan, A. Y. W. (2017). The effectiveness of using a bilingualized dictionary for determining noun countability and article selection. *Lexikos*, 27, 183-213.

- Choemue, S., & Bram, B. (2021). Discourse markers in academic and nonacademic writings of Thai EFL learners. *Studies in English Language and Education*, 8(3), 1209-1226.
- Conti, G. (2011). Defining a rule for the use of infinitive and gerund complements. *English Language Teaching*, 4(3), 3-13. <https://doi.org/10.5539/elt.v4n3p3>
- Crystal, D. (1987). *A dictionary of linguistics and phonetics*. Basil Blackwell.
- Dewi, S.P. (2021). Ambiguity in the laugh aa day, book of bloopers, quotes and good clean jokes by Jim Kraus. *Lingtersa (linguistik, terjemahan, sastra, 1(1)*, 30-42.
- Diao, Y., Lin, H., Yang, L., Fan, X., Wu, D., Zhang, D., & Xu, K. (2019, May). Heterographic pun recognition via pronunciation and spelling understanding gated attention network. In *The World Wide Web Conference* (pp. 363-371).
- Dziemiąnko, A. (2015). Colours in online dictionaries: A case of functional labels. *International Journal of Lexicography*, 28(1), 27–61. <https://doi.org/10.1093/ijl/ecu028>
- Fera, A. (2019). Lexical ambiguity compared to syntactic / or structural ambiguity. *knowledge - International Journal*, 30(5), 1113–1116.
- Frank, M. (1972). *Modern English*. Prentice Hall, Inc.
- Fraundorf, S. H., & Watson, D. G. (2014). Alice's adventures in um-derland: Psycholinguistic sources of variation in disfluency production. *Language, Cognition and Neuroscience*, 29(9), 1083–1096. <https://doi.org/10.1080/01690965.2013.832785>
- Gürbüz, S. (2017). Survey as a quantitative research method. In *Academia.edu* (pp. 141–161).
- Hamsa, A., & Weda, S. (2019). Comparative study in Indonesian and English: Identifying linguistic units of comparison. *The Asian EFL Journal*, 25(5.2), 96-110.
- Haryanti, S., & Setyandari, A. (2018). Students' difficulties in mastering clauses. *International Journal of Active Learning*, 3(1), 39-49.
- Hill, F., Korhonen, A., Cho, K., & Bengio, Y. (2016). Learning to understand phrases by embedding the dictionary. *Transactions of the Association for Computational Linguistics*, 4, 17–30.
- Hoey, M. (1995). A clause-relational analysis of selected dictionary entries: Contrast and compatibility in the definitions of 'man' and 'woman'. In C. R. Caldas-Coulthard & M. Coulthard (Eds.). *Texts and practices: Readings in Critical Discourse Analysis* (pp. 150-165). Routledge. <https://doi.org/10.4324/9780203431382>
- Iivari, N., Kinnula, M., Kuure, L., & Keisanen, T. (2020, April). "Arseing around was fun!"—Humor as a resource in design and making. In *Proceedings of the 2020 CHI Conference on Human Factors in Computing Systems* (pp. 1-13).
- Jaroenkitboworn, K. (2020). Funny English in digital world. *LEARN Journal*, 13(1), 39-61.
- Jin, L., & Deifel, E. (2013). Foreign language learners' use and perception of online dictionaries: A survey study. *MERLOT Journal of Online Learning and Teaching*, 9(4), 515-533.
- Juliana, J., Nadirah, N., Lababa, L., & Manda, I. (2022). Investigating lexical and syntactic ambiguity on native Facebook celebrityism. *La Ogi: English Language Journal*, 8(1), 40-47.
- Kawaletz, L., & Plag, I. (2015). Predicting the semantics of English nominalizations: A frame-based analysis of -ment suffixation. In L. Bauer, P. Štekauer & L. Körtvélyessy (Eds.). *Semantics of complex words* (pp. 289-319). Springer.
- Kirner-Ludwig, M. (2018). Trying to make it fit...that's what she said: An argument for a new category on the formulaic continuum. *Journal of Pragmatics*, 137, 76–91.
- Kizi, B. S. U. (2020). 8 best online dictionaries for learning English. *European Journal of Research and Reflection in Educational Sciences*, 8(2), 45-49.
- Kreidler, C. W. (2002). *Introducing English semantics*. Taylor and Francis e-Library.

- Lew, R. (2012). How can we make electronic dictionaries more effective? In S. Granger & M. Paquot (Eds.). *Electronic lexicography* (pp. 343-361). Oxford University Press.
- Li, L., & Xu, H. (2015). Using an online dictionary for identifying the meanings of verb phrases by Chinese EFL learners. *Lexikos*, 25, 191-209. <https://doi.org/10.5788/25-1-1295>
- Ljubičić, G. M. (2018). Derivation in English: Names of professions and their translation into Serbian. *ЗБОРНИК РАДОВА*, 21(20), 191-198.
- Longman Dictionary of Contemporary English Online. Retrieved from <https://www.ldoceonline.com>
- Lord, K., & Brown-Schmidt, S. (2022). Temporary ambiguity and memory for the context of spoken language. *Psychonomic Bulletin & Review*, 1-11.
- Ma'yuuf, H. H., & Nashaat, O. O. (2021). A semantic-syntactic study of ambiguity in humorous contexts. *Ilkogretim Online*, 20(5), 574-580.
- Martini, I. D. A. K. (2016). Derivational of bound morpheme. *International Research Journal of Management, IT & Social Sciences*, 3(1), 15-22.
- Nassaji, H. (2020). Good qualitative research. *Language Teaching Research*, 24(4), 427-431.
- Nguyen, D., McGillivray, B., & Yasserli, T. (2018). Emo, love and god: Making sense of Urban Dictionary, a crowd-sourced online dictionary. *Royal Society Open Science*, 5(5), 1-16.
- O'Grady, W. (2010). An emergentist approach to syntax. In H. Narrog & B. Heine (Eds.). *The Oxford handbook of linguistic analysis* (pp. 257-283). Oxford University Press.
- Okunade, G. A. (2021). A multimodal discourse study and discursive functions of Nigerian societal humour on social media. *Dutsinma Journal of English and Literature*, 4(1), 262-287.
- Osselton, N. E. (2007). Innovation and continuity in English learners' dictionaries: The single-clause when-definition. *International Journal of Lexicography*, 20(4), 393-399. <https://doi.org/10.1093/ijl/ecm034>
- Oxford Advanced Learner's Dictionary Online. Retrieved from <https://www.oxfordlearnersdictionaries.com>
- Pakdel, F., & Khansir, A. A. (2017). Study of English clauses errors in syntactic structures of Iranian students. *Language in India*, 17(4), 103-117.
- Powell, J.L., Furlong, J., Bézenac, C.E.D., O'Sullivan, N., & Corcoran, R. (2019). The pragmatics of pragmatic language and the curse of ambiguity: An fMRI study. *Neuroscience*, 418, 96-109.
- Ptasznik, B. (2020). Single-clause when-defining models in English monolingual pedagogical dictionaries. *International Journal of Lexicography*, 34(1), 112-134. <https://doi.org/10.1093/ijl/ecaa021>
- Radford, A. (1997). *Syntax: A minimalist introduction*. Cambridge University Press.
- Rice, D. R., & Zorn, C. (2018). Corpus-based dictionaries for sentiment analysis of specialized vocabularies. *Political Science Research and Methods*, 9(1), 20-35. <https://doi.org/10.1017/psrm.2019.10>
- Rohmatillah, R. (2016). Dictionary usage in English language learning. *English Education: Jurnal Tadris Bahasa Inggris*, 9(1), 186-197.
- Samodra, M. C., & Bram, B. (2022). Modal verb "shall" in contemporary American English: A corpus-based study. *Respectus Philologicus*, 41(46), 67-82.
- Sanjaya, A., & Nugrahani, V. (2018). Speech disfluency in groups presentations of English education masters program students. *LLT Journal: A Journal on Language and Language Teaching*, 21(1), 11-26. <https://doi.org/10.24071/llt.v21i1.895>
- Santosa, I., & Andriyadi. (2019). The use of my dictionary application to improve students' vocabulary mastery. *ELTIN Journal*, 7(1), 35-42.

- Saputri, N. K. D. T. D., Suastra, I. M., & Putra, I. K. S. (2022). The lexical ambiguity in news headlines of the Jakarta Post. *Humanis* 26(1), pp. 26-33.
- Satake, Y. (2018). The effects of dictionary use on L2 error correction. *The 12th International Conference of the Asian Association for Lexicography "Lexicography in the Digital World"* (pp. 255-262).
- Subekti, A. S. (2017). A study of the mastery of complex sentences of pre-service English teachers. *Ahmad Dahlan Journal of English Studies*, 4(2), 21-26. <https://doi.org/10.26555/adjes.v4i2.6399>
- Taghiyev, I. A. (2020). Communication-cognition 'butterfly'(geometrical model of verbal communication in case of ambiguity). *International Journal of Language and Linguistics* 7(2), 121-128
- Tang, L. (2022). Ambiguity advantage under meaning activation. *Journal of Logic, Language and Information*, 31, 99-112.
- Tulgar, A. T. (2017). Dictionary use of undergraduate students in foreign language departments in Turkey at present. *Universal Journal of Educational Research*, 5, 51-57. <https://doi.org/10.13189/ujer.2017.051406>
- Utakrit, N., & Fama, P. (2020). Online dictionaries' applications and associated linguistic topology categorized to support users: Systematic review. *Information Technology Journal*, 16(2), 38-50.
- Wilson, S. R., Magdy, W., McGillivray, B., & Tyson, G. (2020). Embedding structured dictionary entries. *Proceedings of the First Workshop on Insights from Negative Results in NLP* (pp. 117–125).
- Wulandari, A., Hapsari, B., & Bram, B. (2018). Communication failures in Netflix drama series "13 reasons why": A pragmatic analysis. *International Journal of Humanity Studies (IJHS)*, 1(2), 264-272. <https://doi.org/10.24071/ijhs.2018.010212>
- Zhang, Y., Chen, S., Li, S., Zhao, Q., Zhou, Z., Huang, F., & Wang, F. (2019). The use of internet language enhances creative performance. *The Journal of General Psychology*, 148(1), 1–19.
- Крива, Ю. (2021). *Pun as a linguostylistic means of creating the humorous effect in English jokes* (pp. 66-68).



UNIVERSITAS SANATA DHARMA

Faculty : Teachers Training and Education
 Program : English Education Master's Program

COURSE LEARNING ASSESSMENT PLAN

Course Identity

1. Course Code/Course Name : ECIS 218/Advanced Educational Linguistics
2. Credits/Contact Hour : 2/2 CHs
3. Prerequisite : -
4. Semester/Academic Year : 3/2023-2024
5. Lecturer(s) : Barli Bram, Ph.D.

Assessment plan 1: Weekly progress assignments on individual paper

Assignment Goals	Students are to develop critical thinking skills when accessing peer-reviewed research journal articles. Critical reading skills are believed to equip them with improved writing skills.
Elaboration of Assignments	<p>Critical thinking skills are required. To train the skills, students are assigned to:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. read journal articles by focusing on five major research report components, namely: (a) introductory part, (b) literature review, (c) methodology, (d) findings and discussion, and (e) conclusion and recommendations. 2. Write the key points of the articles which can benefit and improve the quality of their journal writing.
Things to do and limitations	While personal accountability is of great value in a graduate program, students are also encouraged to work with other colleagues to help each other. Each individual student, however, is required to demonstrate own work. The work will be submitted through ExeLSa.
Description of the assignment outcomes	The students will develop critical skills when write research articles. The skills are of great importance given the goals of the study program. Each individual student is required to accomplish research and publish journal articles to graduate.



UNIVERSITAS SANATA DHARMA


Faculty : Teachers Training and Education
 Program : English Education Master's Program

ASSESSMENT RUBRIC

Course Identity

1. Course Code/Course Name : ECIS 218/Advanced Educational Linguistics
2. Credits/Contact Hour : 2/2 CHs
3. Prerequisite : -
4. Semester/Academic Year : 3/2023-2024
5. Lecturer(s) : Barli Bram, Ph.D.

Assessment plans: Submission of individual paper



English Education Master's Programme
 Sanata Dharma University
 Jln. Attandji (Gejayan), Mrican
 Terminal Pos 2/4
 Yogyakarta 55002, Indonesia

Phone: (0 27) 274 515301 ext. 1220
 Fax: (0 27) 274 562383
 E-mail: s2pb@usd.ac.id
 Website: www.usd.ac.id/s2pb

Full Paper Rubric – Evaluation Form

Paper Title:
Writer's Name:
Student Number:

Assessment of each of the criteria is indicated by a tick (V) in the appropriate column.

No.	Criteria to be Rated	Excellent	Acceptable	Unsatisfactory	N/A
1	Title of Full Paper				
2	Abstract				
3	Introduction				
4	Review/Previous Studies/Theoretical Subheading(s)				
5	Methods/Data Source				
6	Results/Findings/Analysis/Discussion				
7	Conclusion/Suggestion/Recommendation				
8	References/Bibliographies				
9	Quality of Full Paper as a Whole				
10	English language of Full Paper				

N/A: Not Applicable